


702700 DAN ROLPH



Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

USPTO MAIL CENTER


DEC 08 2007

RECEIVED

- A ☐ INSUFFICIENT ADDRESS
C ☐ ATTEMPTED NOT KNOWN
S ☐ NO SUCH NUMBER/ STREET
● ☒ NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD


RTS
RETURN TO SENDER

Handwritten: 2-1-2008
2-1-2008
2-1-2008





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILED DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,045	03/12/2004	Robert E. Mora	02004 - 0001	8580
7590 Courtney J. Miller 2158 Northam Rd. Columbus, OH 43221		EXAMINER SKURDAL, COREY NELSON		
		ART UNIT 3782		
		MAIL DATE 10/26/2007		
		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/800,045	Applicant(s) MORA ET AL.	
	Examiner Corey N. Skurdal	Art Unit 3782	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10 and 19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 2-9 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10, 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison (US 6,168,061) in view of Alvarez Gonzalez (US 5,423,530), Widman (US 4,974,842), and Houser (US 2,768,775).

Harrison discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a caddy device 10 which is capable of being detachably mountable in a separate base, the caddy device having: a contoured elongate body with cups 19 and 20, the cup having stencils 23 and 24; a recess 29 for holding a divot repair device 28; a first and second retaining members 26 for holding golf tees 25; and a clip 11. Harrison does not disclose clasps on the cup, a divot repair device with horns and ridges for holding a ball marker as claimed, or the clip being removably inserted into the body.

Regarding the clasps, Gonzalez teaches a similar caddy device having cup portions 2, the cups having a plurality of clasps 6 for securing a ball within the cup. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to have provided the cups of Harrison with a plurality of clasps as taught by Gonzalez in order to more securely hold the golf balls within the cups.

Regarding the divot repair device, Widman teaches a divot repair device 1 including: an elongated bottom portion with prongs 5 and 6; a rounded upper portion at lead line 2 with first and second horns 8 and 9 formed on the top edge; a recess 3 formed centrally therein; first and second ridges 17 and 18 near the aperture; and a ball marker 4 adapted to held within the recess 3 by use of the ridges 17 and 18. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, that the divot repair device of Widman could be held in the recess 29 of Harrison, in order to provide a divot repair tool having more features.

Regarding the clip being removably inserted into the body, Houser teaches an similar caddy device wherein a clip 10 is provided to secure the caddy to the belt of the user, and wherein the clip is removably attached and inserted to the body at recess 11. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to exchange the clip 11 of Harrison with the removable spring clip 10 of Houser, in order to make the caddy useable with or without a clip.

Regarding claim 19, the ball marker 4 of Widman is disclosed as being a dime.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-9 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10 and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corey N. Skurdal whose telephone number is 571-272-9588. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3782

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CNS
10/17/07


NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No.

10/800,045

Applicant(s)/Patent Under
Reexamination
MORA ET AL.

Examiner

Corey N. Skurdal

Art Unit

3782

Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	A	US-6,168,061	01-2001	Harrison, Robert S.	224/666
*	B	US-5,423,530	06-1995	Alvarez Gonzalez, Pedro	224/194
	C	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	H	US-			
	I	US-			
	J	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	M	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	O					
	P					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	W	
	X	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.